(c) whether the Horticulural Divisions in Delhi have also been reorganised to conform to this Zonal set up and if not the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Over 75 per cent of the work under Delhi Central Electrical Circle-IV have been transferred. However, a small portion still remains with this Circle which has not been transferred because of some administrative reasons.

(c) No. Sir. Initially the exercise ofrestructuring of units was confined only to Civil, Electrical and Architectural works.

Demands of Delhi Development Authority employees

1588. SHRI MURLIDHAR CHAND-RAKANT BHANDARE:
SHRI BEKAL UTSAHI:

Will the Minister of URBAN DEVE-LOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the employees of Delhi Development Authority demonstrated at Vikas Sadan, New Delhi on 7th October, 1991;

(b) if so, what are the details of their demands; and

(c) what is Government's reaction thereto?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM) : (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) They were agitating against the decision of the Government to trifurcate the DDA and its adverse effect on the employees.

(c) The restructuring of DDA is under active consideration and the representation of the employees will be taken into account while taking a final decision.

Shelterless People

1589. SHRI MURLIDHAR CHAND-RAKANT BHANDARE:
SHRI BEKAL UTSAHI;

Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the estimated number of people in the country who were without shelter at the end of Seventh Five Year Plan; and

(b) the targets fixed for providing abodes to them for the current year Statewise and for the 8th Five Year Plan?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY URBAN DEVE LOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) The estimate of housts households is done on decennial basis, at the time of the census operations. As per 1981 census, there were about six lakh homeless households (2 lakh in urban areas and 4 lakh in rural areas), the figures of houseless persons as per 1991 Census have not been finalised, by census Commissioner so far.

lb) Housing is a State subject and housing schemes are formulated and implemented by the State Govts, and U.T. Admn. According to their needs priorities and resources. These are supplemented by Schemes and Prog rammes approved by Central Govt. while the State-wise data on targets fixed by State Govts., for various social housing schemes for the current year and Eighth Plan period are not available, the State-wise targets fixed for the annual plan 1991-92, in respect of the housing schemes cohered by 20 Point Programme are given in the enclosed Statements T, II find ITT.

Statement I

Implementation of 20 *Point Programme Final Targt for* 1991-92

Point 14 (O) EWS *Houses (Dwflling Units)*

State/UTS	Final Target 1991-92
1. Andhra Pradesh	35,000
2. Assam	2,076
3. Bihar	660
4. Goa	100
5. Gujarat	1,200
6. Haryana.	500
7. Himachal Pradesh	50
8. J&K	900
9. Karnataka.	4,000
10. Kerala	12,554
11. Madhya Pradesh	4,000
12. Maharasthra	6,800
13. Manipur	300
13A. Mizoram	129
14. Meghalaya	142
15. Orissa	1,500
16. Punjab	100
17. Rajasthan	1,500
18. Sikkim	40
19. Tamil Nadu	12,558
20. Tripura	160
21. Uttar Pradesh	18,000
22. West Bengal.	295
Union Territories	
1. A&N Islands	30
2. Delhi	8,300
3. Chandigarh	0
TOTAL :	110,885

NOTES:—Scheme is not in operation in (1) Arunachal Pradesh (2) Mizoram (3) Nagaland and UTs of (1) D&N Haveli (2) Daiian *Se* Diu (3) Lakshadweep & (4) Pondicherry.

Statement—II

Implementation of 20 *Point Programme Final Target For* 1991-92 *Point Na.* 1 (F) *LIG Houses (Dwelling Units)*

State/UTs	Final Target 1991-92
1. Andhra Pradesh	1,500
2. Assam	504
3. Bihar	1,300
4. Goa	50
5. Gujarat	900
6. Haryana	700
7. Himachal Pradesh	140
8. J&K	50
9. Karnataka	2,000
10. Kerala	3,500
11. Madhya Pradesh	2,000
12. Maharasthra	9,500
13. Manipur	220
14. Meghalaya	48
14A. Mizoram	260
15. Nagaland	0
16. Orissa	3,000
17. Punjab	350
18. Rajasthan	3,500
19. Tamil Nadu	13,354
20. Tripura	85
21. Uttar Pradesh	7,500
22. West Bengal	664
Union Territories	
1. A&N Island	100
2. Chandigarh	750
3. Delhi	42
4. Deman & Diu	4
5. Pondicherry	—
TOTAL :	52,021

NOTES: — Scheme is not in operation in (1) Arunachal Pradesh, (2) Sikkim, and UTs of (1) D&N Haveli (2) Lakshadweep.

Statement—III

Implementation of 20 *Point Programme*
Final Target fot 1991-92 Point No. 15 :
Improvement of Slum (Slum Dwellers)

State/UTs	Final Target 1991-92
1. Andhra Pradesh	125,326
2. Assam	8,000
3. Bihar	25,000
4. Goa	150
5. Gujarat	40,000
6. Haryana	22,858
7. Himachal Pradesh	15,000
8. J&K	18,000
9. Karnataka	60,000
10. Kerala	12,500
11. Madhya Pradesh	76,190
12. Maharasthra	300,000
13. Manipur	3,390
14. Meghalaya	7,620
15. Mizoram	10,000
16. Orissa	11,600
17. Punjab	70,000
18. Rajasthan	47,650
19. Sikkim	2,000
20. Tamil Nadu	26800
21. Tripura	10,000
22. Uttar Pradesh	200,000
23. West Bengal	60,000
Union Territories	
1. A&N Islands	500
2. Chandigarh	0
3. Delhi	133,000
4. Pondicherry	10,000
TOTAL :	1,295,584

NOTE :— Scheme is not in operation in (1) Arunachal Pradesh (2) Sikkim (3) Tripura and UTs of (1) D&N Haveli (2) Lakshadweep.